

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/622,256 | 07/18/2003 | Paul Dzialakiewicz | SBC0019 | 3292 |
| 27187 75 | 590 11/15/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| BAKER & DANIELS LLP | | | DEVORE, PETER T | |
| 205 W. JEFFERSON BOULEVARD SUITE 250 SOUTH BEND, IN 46601 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | . 3751 | <u> </u> |

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | <i>k)</i> | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/622,256 | DZIALAKIEWICZ ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Peter T. deVore | 3751 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiling to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAI | ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A | <u>ugust 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 22-33</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15, 20, 34-36</u> is/are rejected. | ☑ Claim(s) <u>13-15, 20, 34-36</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-19 and 21</u> is/are objected to. |)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-19 and 21</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau | s have been received. s have been received in Ap nity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | plication No eceived in this National Stage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not re | eceived. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Su | mmary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s) | Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Motice of Info 6) Other: | ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/622,256

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-15, 20, and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hettinger.

The Hettinger reference discloses a valve comprising a housing 16 with first, second, and common ports (see Figure 3b) and a longitudinal axis (from top to bottom of page in Figure 3b), an armature 18, poppets extending for different longitudinal lengths (see Figure 3b, left poppet is mislabeled as 68 in Figure 3b and should be labelled 28, right poppet is unlabeled), a spring 66, a solenoid including a coil 98 and a pole piece having legs of different longitudinal lengths (leg 56 is longer than leg 54 if each leg length is construed starting from its free end through to the other end of the magnet, see Figure 3b). Regarding claim 35, the right poppet of Figure 3b is closer than the left poppet to the pivot axis of the armature.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/30/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that it is not clear from the drawings and there is no

Application/Control Number: 10/622,256

Art Unit: 3751

description in the specification of Hettinger that the poppets are intended to be of different lengths. However, it is the Examiner's position that poppets are clearly of different lengths in Figure 3b. Furthermore, as shown in Figure 3b, there is a downward step on the surface of the armature which faces the solenoid, a spring acts on only one side of the armature, and there are several other asymmetrical features of the device, which in combination lead the Examiner to conclude that the difference in the lengths of the two poppets in the drawings is not merely due to draftsman's error but is an integral part of the design.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-12 and 22-33 are allowed.

Claims 16-19 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/622,256

Art Unit: 3751

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Peter T. deVore whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4884. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pd Pd

JUSTINE R. YU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

11/10/05

Page 4